## Case 2:15-cv-01350-JLR Document 340 Filed 05/25/16 Page 1 of 4 Case 2:15-cv-01350-JLR Document 337 Filed 05/24/16 Page 1 of 4

| 1  |  | THE HONORABLE JAMES L. ROBART                                 |
|----|--|---|
| 2  |  | ٧   |
| 3  |  |   |
| 4  |  |   |
| 5  |  |   |
| 6  |  |   |
| 7  |  |   |
| 8  | UNITED STATES DIS  | TRICT COURT   |
| 9  | WESTERN DISTRICT O   | F WASHINGTON  |
| 10 | SEATTLE DIV  | VISION  |
| 11 |  |   |
| 12 | SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,  | Civil Action No. C-15-1350-JLR                                |
| 13 | Plaintiff,   |   |
| 14 | VS.  | STIPULATION AND <del>[PROPOSED]</del> ORDER RE: NONPARTY VOYA |
| 15 | PATH AMERICA, LLC et al.,  | INSURANCE AND ANNUITY COMPANY'S APPEARANCE TO                 |
| 16 | Defendants and Relief Defendants.  | ASSERT CLAIMS AS SECURED CREDITOR OF CERTAIN                  |
| 17 |  | RECEIVERSHIP PROPERTY   |
| 18 | ** Command and with the State of the State o | <u></u>   |
| 19 |  |   |
| 20 |  |   |
| 21 |  |   |
| 22 |  |   |
| 23 |  |   |
| 24 |  |   |
| 25 |  |   |
| 26 |  |   |
| 27 |  |   |
| 28 |  |   |

STIPULATION

The Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission ("the SEC"), the Receiver, Michael Grassmueck, and nonparty Voya Insurance and Annuity Company ("Voya"), by and through their respective counsel, hereby stipulate to the following:

WHEREAS on January 14, 2016, the Court entered an Order denying Voya's Motion to Intervene in this case, and on February 12, 2016 Voya filed a notice of appeal from the Order;

WHEREAS Voya is an interested person in the receivership estate under the control of the Receiver, by virtue of its secured interest in property under the control of the Receiver, having provided a loan that is secured by a deed of trust over the real property and improvements comprising the Potala Farmer's Market Project located at 2900 Grand Avenue, Everett, Snohomish County, Washington 98201 (the "Market Project");

WHEREAS the SEC and the Receiver understand that Voya may have need to formally object to actions or proposed actions of the Receiver, or to otherwise seek redress from the Court in furtherance of its secured interest, and do not object to Voya's appearance in this case as an interested person for such purposes without the need to first seek leave of the Court to intervene as a party;

NOW, THEREFORE, the SEC, the Receiver, and Voya hereby stipulate and agree: Voya may appear and be heard in this case as an interested person for the purpose of objecting to, or supporting, the actions or proposed actions of the Receiver that Voya believes may affect its secured interest in property under the control of the Receiver, or seek redress from the Court in furtherance of its secured interest, including the right to file motions for such purposes, without the need to first seek leave of the Court to intervene as a party.

I

| 1  | STIPULATED AND AGREED TO BY  |  |
|--|--|--|
| 2  | Dated: May , 2016 /s/ Susan F. LaMarca Susan F. LaMarca  |  |
| 3  | Andrew J. Hefty SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION   |  |
| 4  | 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 2800 San Francisco, CA 94104   |  |
| 5  | San Francisco, CA 94104  |  |
| 6  | David W. Criswell  |  |
| 7  | BALL JANIK LLP   |  |
| 8  | 101 SW Main Street, Suite 1100 Portland, OR 97204  |  |
| 9  | Attorneys for VOYA Insurance and Annuity Company   |  |
| 10   | lad Mala and Timus II  |  |
| 11   | /s/ Michael Farrell David R. Zaro  |  |
| 12   | Michael Farrell ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE MALLORY  |  |
| 13   | & NATSIS 515 S. Figueroa Street, 7 <sup>th</sup> Floor   |  |
| 14   | Los Angeles, CA 90071 Attorneys for Receiver   |  |
| 15   | $\sim 10^{\circ}$  |  |
|  | :  |  |
| 16   | [PROPOSED] ORDER   |  |
| 16<br>17   | Based upon the Above Stipulation:  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 17   | Based upon the Above Stipulation:  |  |
| 17<br>18   | Based upon the Above Stipulation:  Voya may appear and be heard in this case as an interested person for the purpose of  |  |
| 17<br>18<br>19                                     | Based upon the Above Stipulation:  Voya may appear and be heard in this case as an interested person for the purpose of objecting to, or supporting, the actions or proposed actions of the Receiver that Voya   |  |
| 17<br>18<br>19<br>20                               | Based upon the Above Stipulation:  Voya may appear and be heard in this case as an interested person for the purpose of objecting to, or supporting, the actions or proposed actions of the Receiver that Voya believes may affect its secured interest in property under the control of the Receiver, or  |  |
| 17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21                         | Based upon the Above Stipulation:  Voya may appear and be heard in this case as an interested person for the purpose of objecting to, or supporting, the actions or proposed actions of the Receiver that Voya believes may affect its secured interest in property under the control of the Receiver, or seek redress from the Court in furtherance of its secured interest, including the right to file  |  |
| 17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22                   | Based upon the Above Stipulation:  Voya may appear and be heard in this case as an interested person for the purpose of objecting to, or supporting, the actions or proposed actions of the Receiver that Voya believes may affect its secured interest in property under the control of the Receiver, or seek redress from the Court in furtherance of its secured interest, including the right to file motions for such purposes, without the need to first seek leave of the Court to intervene as                             |  |
| 17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23             | Based upon the Above Stipulation:  Voya may appear and be heard in this case as an interested person for the purpose of objecting to, or supporting, the actions or proposed actions of the Receiver that Voya believes may affect its secured interest in property under the control of the Receiver, or seek redress from the Court in furtherance of its secured interest, including the right to file motions for such purposes, without the need to first seek leave of the Court to intervene as a party.                    |  |
| 17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23<br>24       | Based upon the Above Stipulation:  Voya may appear and be heard in this case as an interested person for the purpose of objecting to, or supporting, the actions or proposed actions of the Receiver that Voya believes may affect its secured interest in property under the control of the Receiver, or seek redress from the Court in furtherance of its secured interest, including the right to file motions for such purposes, without the need to first seek leave of the Court to intervene as a party.  IT IS SO ORDERED. |  |
| 17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23<br>24<br>25 | Based upon the Above Stipulation:  Voya may appear and be heard in this case as an interested person for the purpose of objecting to, or supporting, the actions or proposed actions of the Receiver that Voya believes may affect its secured interest in property under the control of the Receiver, or seek redress from the Court in furtherance of its secured interest, including the right to file motions for such purposes, without the need to first seek leave of the Court to intervene as a party.  IT IS SO ORDERED. |  |

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 2 I hereby certify that on May 24, 2016, I caused the foregoing document to be electronically 3 filed with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of the filing to all counsel of record. 5 Dated: May 24, 2016 s/ Michael R. Farrell Michael R. Farrell, Esq. #173831 (CA) 7 (Pro Hac Vice Granted Nov. 6, 2015) 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]ORDER RE: Securities and Exchange Commission Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis LLP NONPARTY VOYA INSURANCE AND 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 2800 ANNUITY COMPANY'S APPEARANCE TO Sau Francisco, California 94104 ASSERT CLAIMS 2:15-CV-01350 (415) 705-2500 3

LAW OFFICES